G109

Proceedings of the 4th JSME-KSME Thermal Engineering Conference October 1-6, 2000, Kobe, Japan

# THEORETICAL STUDY ON THE OPTIMIZATION OF FIN GEOMETRY FOR CONDENSATION OF R410A IN A HORIZONTAL MICROFIN TUBE

## Huasheng WANG and Hiroshi HONDA

Institute of Advanced Material Study, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816-8580, Japan

**ABSTRACT** A theoretical study was made to optimize the fin geometry of a helically-grooved, horizontal microfin tube for condensation of R410A. Numerical calculations of overall heat transfer from the vapor flowing inside the microfin tube to the surrounding air were conducted for typical operating conditions of an air-cooled condenser. The previously proposed stratified flow model was applied to the condensation heat transfer inside the tube. The numerical results showed that the effects of the length of flat portion at the fin tip, radius of round corner at the fin tip and the fin half tip angle are small and the effects of the fin height, fin number and helix angle of groove are of significance.

Keywords: Condensation, Refrigerant R410A, Microfin Tube, Fin Geometry Effect, Numerical Analysis

#### INDRODUCTION

Helically grooved, horizontal microfin tubes have been commonly used in air conditioners due to their high heat transfer performance. Many experimental studies reporting on the effects of fin geometry, tube diameter, refrigerant, oil etc. on the condensation heat transfer and pressure drop have been published. Webb [1] and Newell and Shah [2] have given comprehensive reviews of relevant literature. Cavallini et al. [3] and Shikazono et al. [4] have developed empirical equations of the circumferential average heat transfer coefficient. Yang and Webb [5] and Nozu and Honda [6], respectively, proposed a semi-empirical model and an annular flow model of condensation in microfin tubes which considered the combined effects of vapor shear and surface tension forces. Honda et al. [7] proposed a stratified flow model which considered the combined effects of surface tension and gravity. The height of stratified condensate was determined by a modified Taitel and Dukler [8] model which assumed a flat vapor-liquid interface. They showed that previous experimental data for four refrigerants and three tubes with relatively large fin height (h > 0.16 mm) and relatively large diameters at fin root  $(d = 8.5 \sim 8.8 \text{mm})$  could be predicted within  $\pm 20\%$  by the higher of the two theoretical predictions. Wang and Honda [9] extended the previously proposed model to include the effect of curved vapor-liquid interface that was caused by the surface tension. This model gave a better agreement (-7~22% as compared 1~31% of the flat interface model) with experimental data for R22 condensing in a tube with  $d = 6.5 \,\mathrm{mm}.$ 

The objective of the present work is to apply the previously proposed stratified flow model [7, 9] to an air-cooled condenser and study the effect of fin geometry on condensation of R410A. Assuming typical operating conditions of an air-cooled condenser, numerical calculations are conducted with systematically changed values of the fin number, fin height, helix angle of groove, fin half-tip angle, etc.

## ANALYSIS

## **Physical Model**

We consider a horizontal air-cooled condenser as shown in Fig.1 in which R410A is condensed. The refrigerant is assumed to be dry saturated ( $\chi = 1$ ) at the condenser inlet and  $\chi = 0$  at the condenser outlet. The refrigerant mass velocity G is assumed to be 50, 100 and 300 kg/m<sup>2</sup>s, and





the air and refrigerant temperatures 20 and 50 °C, respectively. Following the current design practice of manufacturers, the tube outside diameter  $d_o$  is assumed to be 7.0mm, d = 6.5 mm, the air-side heat transfer coefficient  $\alpha_a = 135$  W/m<sup>2</sup>K, the outside-to-inside surface area (based on the core diameter) ratio  $F_{ac} = 17.5$ , the fin efficiency  $\eta_f = 0.8$ , and the thermal contact resistance of fins  $R_f = 6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2$ K/W. On the basis of the previous results [7, 9], in which the theoretical predictions of the stratified





Fig.2 Physical model and coordinate

flow model gave a good agreement with available experimental data for R22 and R134a for the whole range of vapor quality, the stratified flow model was applied.

Figure 2 shows the physical model of stratified condensate flow in a helically grooved, horizontal microfin In Fig. 2(a), the shape of tube and coordinates. vapor-liquid interface is assumed to be a circular arc centered at  $O_1$ . The angle  $\varphi$  is measured from the top of the tube and  $\varphi_{c}$  denotes the angle below which the tube is filled with stratified condensate. The coordinate z is measured vertically upward from the surface of stratified condensate at  $\varphi = \varphi_s$ . The tube surfaces at the angular portions of  $0 \le \varphi \le \varphi_s$  and  $\varphi_s \le \varphi \le \pi$  are denoted as region 1 and region 2, respectively. In the region just above the level of stratified condensate, condensate is retained in the groove between adjacent fins by the capillary effect. As a result, a relatively thick condensate film is formed in the groove. The angle below which the condensate is retained in the groove is denoted as the flooding angle  $\varphi_f$ . Figures 2(c) and 2(d) show the condensate profiles in the fin cross-sections for regions  $0 \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_f \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_f \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_s \ , \ \text{respectively}.$ The fin profile is assumed to be a trapezoid with round corners at the fin tip and fin root. The fin height and fin pitch are hand p, respectively, and the fin half tip angle is  $\theta$ . The coordinate x is measured along the tube surface from the center of fin tip and y is measured vertically outward from the tube surface. The condensate on the fin surface is drained by the combined gravity and surface tension forces toward the fin root and then it flows down the groove by gravity. Thus the condensate film thickness  $\delta$  is very small near the fin tip and it is relatively thick near the fin root. The effect of vapor shear force on the condensate flow on the fin surface is assumed to be negligible.

#### Profile of Vapor-Liquid Interface

The profile of vapor-liquid interface is estimated by the combination of a modified Taitel and Dukler [8] model and a model of the interface configuration proposed by Brauner et al. [10]. The basic equation for the stratified flow with a curved interface is written as

$$f_{\nu} \frac{\rho_{\nu} U_{\nu}^{2}}{2} \frac{S_{\nu}}{A_{\nu}} - f_{l} \frac{\rho_{l} U_{l}^{2}}{2} \frac{S_{l}}{A_{l}} + f_{i} \frac{\rho_{\nu} U_{\nu}^{2}}{2} \left( \frac{S_{i}}{A_{\nu}} + \frac{S_{i}}{A_{l}} \right) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $f_v$  and  $f_l$  are the friction factors in regions 1 and 2, respectively,  $f_i$  is the interfacial friction factor,  $\rho_v$  and  $\rho_l$  are the densities of vapor and condensate, respectively,  $U_v = GAx / \rho_v A_v$ ,  $U_l = GA(1-\chi) / \rho_l A_l$ ,  $S_v = Fd\varphi_s$ ,  $S_l = Fd(\pi - \varphi_s)$ ,  $S_i = d \sin \varphi_s (\pi - 2\omega) / \sin(2\omega)$ ,  $A_l = \frac{d^2}{4} \left[ \frac{A}{A_n} (\pi - \varphi_s) + \frac{\sin(2\varphi_s)}{2} + \sin^2 \varphi_s \frac{\pi - 2\omega + \sin(4\omega) / 2}{\sin^2(2\omega)} \right]$ ,  $A_v = \pi d^2 / 4 - A_l$ , A is the actual cross sectional area of

the tube,  $A_n$  is the nominal cross sectional area based on the fin root diameter d, F is the surface area enhance-ment as compared to a smooth tube. The expressions for  $f_v$ ,  $f_i$  and  $f_i$  are given in [7].

Following Brauner et al. [10], the interface curvature is assumed to be determined by the condition that the sum of the gravitational potential and surface energy  $\Delta e$  is minimum, where  $\Delta e$  is given by

$$\Delta e = \frac{1}{8} (\rho_{I} - \rho_{v}) g d^{3} [\sin^{3} \varphi_{s} (\cot(2\omega) + \cot \varphi_{s}) \cdot \frac{\pi - 2\omega + \sin(4\omega)/2}{\sin^{2}(2\omega)} + \frac{2}{3} \sin^{3} \varphi_{s}^{p} + \frac{8}{Bo} \{\sin \varphi_{s} \frac{\pi - 2\omega}{\sin(2\omega)} - \sin \varphi_{s}^{p} + \cos \zeta (\varphi_{s} - \varphi_{s}^{p})\}]$$

$$(2)$$

where  $Bo = (\rho_1 - \rho_y)gd^2 / \sigma$  is the Bond number,  $\varphi_s^p$  is the value of  $\varphi_s$  for a plane interface ( $\omega = \pi/2$ ), and  $\varsigma$ is the wettability angle. It is relevant to note here that  $\varsigma = 0$  for condensation.

## **Profile of Thick Condensate Film**

In the angular portion  $\varphi_f \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_s$ , the condensate velocity in the thick film is supposed to be very small. Thus its profile is approximated by a static meniscus that touches the fin flank (shown by a dotted line in Fig. 2(d)). Then the radius of curvature of the thick film  $r_b$  is given by

$$\frac{\sigma}{r_b} = (\rho_l - \rho_v)gz = \frac{(\rho_l - \rho_v)gd}{2}(\cos\varphi - \cos\varphi_s)$$
(3)

## **Profile of Thin Condensate Film**

In the thin film region  $0 \le \varphi \le \varphi_f$ ,  $\delta$  is assumed to be sufficiently smaller than h and p. The condensate on the fin surface is drained in the x direction by the combined surface tension and gravity forces. Also, it is drained along the groove by the gravity force. The condensate flow is assumed to be laminar. Substituting the solutions of condensate velocities in the x and  $\varphi$ directions into the continuity equation yields

$$-\frac{(\rho_{I}-\rho_{v})g\cos\varphi}{3v_{I}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\sin\psi\delta^{3}\right)-\frac{\sigma}{3v_{I}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\delta^{3}\right\}$$
$$+\frac{2(\rho_{I}-\rho_{v})g\sin^{2}\gamma}{3v_{I}d}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\left(\sin\varphi\delta^{3}\right)=\frac{\lambda_{I}(T_{s}-T_{w1})}{h_{fg}\delta}$$
(4)

where  $r = r(\delta, d\delta / dx, d^2 \delta / dx^2)$  is the radius of curva-ture of the condensate surface in the fin cross-section. The expression for r is given in [7]. The boundary conditions are

$$\partial \delta / \partial \varphi = 0$$
 at  $\varphi = 0$  (5)

$$\partial \delta / \partial x = \partial^3 \delta / \partial x^3 = 0$$
 at  $x = 0$  and  $x_r$  (6)

For 
$$\varphi_f \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_s$$
, where the condensate film is

consisted of a thin film region near the fin tip and a thick film region near the fin root, the boundary conditions at the connecting point between the thin film and thick film are given by

$$\partial \delta / \partial x = \tan \varepsilon$$
,  $r = -r_b$  at  $x = x_b$  (7)  
where  $\varepsilon$  is the angle shown in Fig. 2(d).

The solution of Eq. (4) subject to the boundary conditions (5) and (6) for  $0 \le \varphi \le \varphi_f$ , and (5) and (7) for  $\varphi_f \le \varphi \le \varphi_s$  was obtained numerically by a finite difference scheme. The description on the numerical scheme is given in [7].

#### Wall Temperature and Heat Transfer Coefficients

For region 1, the average heat transfer coefficient for the fin cross section  $\alpha_{\varphi}$  is defined on the projected area basis as

$$\alpha_{\varphi} = \frac{2}{p} \int_{0}^{x_{r}} \alpha_{x} dx = \frac{2}{p} \int_{0}^{x_{r}} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\delta} dx$$
(8)

where  $\alpha_x = \lambda_1 / \delta$  is the local heat transfer coefficient. The average heat transfer coefficient for region 1,  $\alpha_1$ , is defined on the projected area basis as

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \int_0^{\varphi_s} \alpha_{\varphi} d\varphi = \frac{2\lambda_r}{p\varphi_s} \int_0^{\varphi_s} \int_0^{x_r} \frac{1}{\delta} dx d\varphi$$
(9)

The heat transfer coefficient in region 2,  $\alpha_2$ , is assumed to be uniform. The  $\alpha_2$  is estimated using the following empirical equation for forced convection in internally finned tubes developed by Carnavos [11]

$$\alpha_{2} = 0.023 \frac{\lambda_{l}}{d_{l}} \left(\frac{\rho_{l} d_{l} U_{l}}{\mu_{l}}\right)^{0.8} \Pr_{l}^{0.4} \left(\frac{A}{A_{c}}\right)^{0.1} F^{0.5} (\sec \gamma)^{3} \quad (10)$$

where  $A_c = \pi (d - 2h)^2 / 4$  is the core flow area and  $\gamma$  is the helix angle of the groove.

The condensation temperature difference  $(T_s - T_{wk})$ and the heat flux  $q_k$  for region k are obtained from

$$q_{k} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_{k}} + \frac{d}{2\lambda_{w}} \ln\left(\frac{d_{o}}{d}\right) + R_{f} \frac{d}{d_{o}} + \frac{d}{\alpha_{a}\eta_{f}F_{ac}d_{c}} \right\}^{-1} \left(T_{s} - T_{a}\right)$$
$$= \alpha_{k}(T_{s} - T_{wk}) \qquad k = 1, 2$$
(11)

where  $T_{wk}$  is the inside tube wall temperature for region k. Then the circumferential average heat transfer coefficient  $\alpha_{m\varphi}$  and the average heat transfer coefficient of the condenser  $\alpha_{mc}$  are respectively obtained from

$$\alpha_{m\varphi} = q_m / (T_s - T_{wm}) \tag{12}$$

$$\alpha_{mc} = \frac{1}{4} \, dGh_{fg} \, / \int_0^l (T_s - T_{wm}) \, dl \tag{13}$$

where

$$q_{m} = \left\{ \varphi_{s} q_{1} + (\pi - \varphi_{s}) q_{2} \right\} / \pi$$
(14)

$$T_{s} - T_{wm} = \left\{ \varphi_{s} \left( T_{s} - T_{w1} \right) + (\pi - \varphi_{s}) \left( T_{s} - T_{w2} \right) \right\} / \pi \quad (15)$$

 $T_{wm}$  is the circumferential average wall temperature and l is the tube length required for complete condensation.

## **Calculation Procedure**

Numerical calculation was conducted for the range of  $1-\chi = 0.025 \sim 0.975$  with an increment of 0.05. For each  $(1-\chi)$ , the interface configuration was obtained from Eqs. (1) and (2). For region k (k = 1, 2), the wall temperature  $T_{wk}$  and the heat flux  $q_k$  were obtained from Eq. (11). It is relevant to note here that  $T_{w1}$  in Eq. (4) is not known a priori. Thus Eqs. (4), (9) and (11) were solved iteratively starting with an appropriate assumption of  $T_{w1}$ .

## NUMERICAL RESULTS

Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show examples of the variations of  $T_{w1}$ ,  $T_{w2}$ ,  $T_{wm}$  and  $\alpha_{m\varphi}$  with the wetness fraction  $(1-\chi)$ . The refrigerant mass velocity G is assumed to be 100 and 300 kg/m<sup>2</sup>s, and the fin geometry parameters h, p,  $x_0$ ,  $r_0$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\theta$  are assumed to be 0.24mm, 0.4mm, 0.01mm, 0.02mm, 13° and 16°, respectively. As a result of neglecting the effect of vapor shear force on the thin condensate film,  $T_{w1}$  takes almost the same value for G = 100 and 300 kg/m<sup>2</sup>s. The condensation temperature difference in region 1,  $(T_s - T_{w1})$ , is almost constant in the region of  $(1-\chi) = 0 \sim 0.9$  and it decreases slightly with further increasing  $(1-\chi)$ . The condensation temperature difference in region 2,  $(T_s - T_{w2})$ , increases gradually as  $(1-\chi)$  increases. The value of  $(T_s - T_{w2})$  is much smaller for  $G = 300 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{s}$  than for 100 kg/m<sup>2</sup>s, which is due to a higher convective heat transfer in region 2. Thus  $T_{wm}$  decreases more quickly for  $G = 100 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{s}$ . The temperature drop at the air-side  $(T_{wm} - T_a)$  ranges from 43 to 79% of the overall temperature drop  $(T_s - T_a)$ depending on G and  $\chi$ . The  $\alpha_{m\varphi}$  value decreases sharply near the inlet and then it decreases gradually with increasing  $(1-\chi)$ . It then decreases sharply near the









outlet of the condenser.

Figure 4 shows  $\alpha_{mc}$  plotted as a function of the length of flat portion at the fin tip  $x_0$  with G and the radius of curvature at the corner of fin tip  $r_0$  as parameters. The  $\alpha_{mc}$  decreases slightly as  $x_0$  increases and it is almost



Fig.7 Variation of  $\alpha_{mc}$  with h

constant for  $x_0 > 0.01$  mm. The  $\alpha_{mc}$  is slightly higher for larger  $r_0$ .

Figure 5 shows  $\alpha_{mc}$  plotted as a function of  $r_0$  with G and  $x_0$  as parameters. For  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $\alpha_{mc}$  takes a nearly constant value. For  $x_0 = 0.01$  and 0.03mm, on the other hand,  $\alpha_{mc}$  increases slightly as  $r_0$  increases. The







Fig.9 Variation of  $\alpha_{mc}$  with  $\gamma$ 

 $\alpha_{mc}$  is slightly higher for smaller  $x_0$ . It is seen from Figs. 4 and 5 that the effects of  $x_0$  and  $r_0$  are rather small.

Figure 6 shows  $\alpha_{mc}$  plotted as a function of the fin half tip angle  $\theta$  with G as a parameter. The  $\alpha_{mc}$  decreases slightly as  $\theta$  increases.

Figure 7 shows  $\alpha_{mc}$  plotted as a function of fin height h with G as a parameter. The  $\alpha_{mc}$  increases as h increases. This is due to the increase in the effective heat transfer area near the fin tip. The effect is more significant for larger G.

Figure 8 shows  $\alpha_{mc}$  plotted as a function of the fin number *n* with *G* as a parameter. The  $\alpha_{mc}$  increases as *n* increases. The increase is more marked for smaller *n* and larger *G*. This is due to the combined effects of surface area increase and thickening of condensate film near the fin root, which is caused by the decrease in the groove width as a result of the increase in *n*.

Figure 9 shows  $\alpha_{mc}$  plotted as a function of the helix angle  $\gamma$  with G as a parameter. The  $\alpha_{mc}$  shows a pronounced increase as  $\gamma$  increases. This is due to the increase in the component of gravity along the groove and decrease in the groove length between  $\varphi = 0$  and  $\varphi = \varphi_s$ (see Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)), which act to augment the drainage of condensate in the groove and increase the effective heat transfer area.

It is seen from Figs. 7-9 that the effects of h, n and  $\gamma$  are more significant for larger G. This is due to the fact that the heat transfer coefficient in region 2,  $\alpha_2$ , increases as G increases. This results in the increase in  $q_2$  and decrease in  $(T_s - T_{w2})$  given by Eq. (11). As a result,  $\alpha_{mc}$  (defined by Eq. (13)) becomes more sensitive to the variation of  $\alpha_1$  as G increases.

It is of interest to compare the present results with previous work [12~14] reporting on the effects of fin geometry. Generally, the experimental results show that  $\alpha_{mc}$  first increases with increasing h and n. Satoh and Nosetani [13] and Ohtani et al. [14] have reported optimum values of n that depended on  $d_o$  and  $\gamma$ . Ohtani et al. [14] have reported optimum values of h that depended on  $d_o$ . Satoh and Nosetani [13] have reported a decrease in  $\alpha_{mc}$  with increasing  $\gamma$ , whereas Ohtani et al. [14] have reported an increase in  $\alpha_{mc}$  with increasing  $\gamma$ . Since the uncertainties of the measured  $\alpha_{mc}$  values are not described in these papers, the foregoing results are not conclusive. More accurate experimental data are required to confirm the present numerical results.

## CONCLUSION

A theoretical study has been made to optimize the fin geometry of a helically-grooved, horizontal microfin tube for condensation of R410A. Numerical calculations of vapor-to-coolant heat transfer were conducted for typical operating conditions of an air-cooled condenser. The numerical results showed that the effects of the length of flat portion at the fin tip  $x_0$ , radius of round corner at the fin tip  $r_0$  and the fin half tip angle  $\theta$  are small and the effects of the fin height h, fin number n and helix angle of groove  $\gamma$  are of significance.

#### NOMENCLATURE

- $A = \text{cross-sectional area of tube, m}^2$
- Bo = Bond number
- d = diameter at fin root, m
- $d_i$  = equivalent diameter of liquid space, m ( = 4  $A_i / S_i$  )

$$d_o$$
 = outside diameter, m

- e = energy, J/m
- F = surface area enhancement as compared to a smooth tube
- $F_{ac}$  = outside-to-inside surface area ratio
- f = friction factor
- g = gravitational acceleration, m/s<sup>2</sup>
- G = refrigerant mass velocity, kg/m<sup>2</sup>s
- h = fin height, m
- $h_{fo}$  = specific enthalpy of evaporation, J/kg
  - l =tube length, m
  - n = number of fins
  - p = fin pitch, m

Pr = Prandtl number

q = heat flux, W/m<sup>2</sup>

- $R_{f}$  = thermal contact resistance, m<sup>2</sup>K/W
- r = radius of curvature of condensate surface in fin cross-section, m
- $r_0$  = radius of curvature at corner of fin tip, m
- $r_b$  = radius of curvature of condensate surface in thick film region, m
- $r_r$  = radius of curvature at corner of fin root, m
- S = perimeter length, m
- T = temperature, °C
- U = velocity in axial direction, m/s
- x, y = coordinates, Fig. 2
- $x_b$  = coordinate at connecting point between thin and thick film regions, Fig. 2, m
- $x_0, x_t$  = coordinates at connecting points between straight and round portions of fin, Fig. 2, m
  - $x_r$  = mid point between adjacent fins, m
  - z = vertical height measured from condensate surface, fig. 2, m

## Greek symbols

- $\alpha$  = heat transfer coefficient, W/m<sup>2</sup>K
- $\beta$  = angle, Fig. 2, deg
- $\gamma$  = helix angle of groove, deg
- $\delta$  = condensate film thickness, m
- $\varepsilon$  = angle, Fig. 2, deg
- $\varsigma$  = wettability angle, deg
- $\eta_f$  = fin efficiency
- $\theta$  = fin half tip angle, deg
- $\lambda$  = thermal conductivity, W/mK
- v = kinematic viscosity, m<sup>2</sup>/s
- $\rho$  = density, kg/m<sup>3</sup>
- $\sigma$  = surface tension, N/m
- $\varphi$  = angle measured from tube top, deg
- $\chi$  = mass quality
- $\Psi$  = angle, Fig.2, deg
- $\omega$  = angle, Fig. 2, deg

# Subscripts

- a = air side
- b = boundary of thin and thick film regions
- c = condenser
- f = flooding point
- i = liquid-vapor interface
- l = liquid
- m = circumferential average value
- r =fin root, fin root mid point
- s = saturation
- v = vapor
- w = wall
- x = local value
- $\varphi$  = average value for fin cross-section
- 1 = region 1
- 2 = region 2

### REFERENCES

- 1. Webb, R. L., Principles of Enhanced Heat Transfer, Chapter 14 (1994), John Wiley and Sons.
- 2. Newell, T. A. and Shah, R. K., Refrigerant Heat Transfer, Pressure Drop, and Void Fraction Effects in Microfin Tubes, Proceedings of 2nd International Symposium on Two-Phase Flow and Experimentation, Pisa, Italy, Vol. 3 (1999), pp. 1623-1639.
- Cavallini, A., Doretti, L., Klammsteiner, N., Longo, G. A. and Rosetto, L., Condensation of New Refrigerants Inside Smooth and Enhanced Tubes, Proceedings of 19th International Congress of Refrigeration, Vol. IV (1995), pp. 105-114.
- Shikazono, N., Itoh, M., Uchida, M., Fukushima, T. and Hatada, T., Predictive Equation Proposal for Condensation Heat Transfer Coefficient of Pure Refrigerants in Horizontal Microfin Tubes, Transactions of JSME, Vol. 64 (1998), pp. 196-203.
- 5. Yang, C. Y. and Webb, R. L., A Predictive Model for Condensation in Small Hydraulic Diameter Tubes Having Axial Microfins, ASME Journal of Heat Transfer, Vol. 119 (1997), pp. 776-782.
- 6. Nozu, S. and Honda, H., Condensation of Refrigerants in Horizontal, Spirally Grooved Microfin Tubes: Numerical Analysis of Heat Transfer in Annular Flow Regime, ASME Journal of Heat Transfer (2000), (to be published).
- Honda, H., Wang, H. S. and Nozu, S., A Theoretical Model of Film Condensation in Horizontal Microfin Tubes, Proceedings of 34th National Heat Transfer Conference, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (2000), NHTC-12213.
- 8. Taitel, Y. and Dukler, A. E., A Model for Predicting Flow Regime Transitions in Horizontal and Near Horizontal Gas-Liquid Flow, AIChE Journal, Vol. 22 (1976), pp. 47-55.
- Wang, H. S. and Honda, H., Effect of Tube Diameter on Condensation of Refrigerants in Horizontal Microfin Tubes, Proc. 37th Heat Transfer Symposium of Japan (to be published)
- Brauner, N., Rovinsky, J. and Maron, D. M., Determination of the Interface Curvature in Stratified Two-Phase Systems by Energy Considerations, Inter-national Journal of Multiphase Flow, Vol.22 (1996), pp.1167-1185.
- 11. Carnavos, T. C., Heat Transfer Performance of Internally Finned Tubes in Turbulent Flow, Heat Transfer Engineering, Vol. 4 (1980), pp. 32-37.
- 12. Hori, M. and Shinohara, Y., J. Japan Copper and Brass Research Assoc., Vol. 29 (1990), pp.65-70.
- 13. Satoh, Y. and Nosetani, K., J. Japan Copper and Brass Research Assoc., Vol.29 (1990), pp.233-239.
- 14. Ohtani, T., Tsuchita, T., Yasuda, K. and Hori, N., Hitachi Densen, Vol. 13, (1994), pp.115-120.