

# Nucleon-Deuteron Scattering Studied by the Quark-Model Baryon-Baryon Interaction

K. Fukukawa<sup>1</sup> and Y. Fujiwara<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*RIKEN Nishina Center, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

The three-nucleon ( $3N$ ) system is appropriate to study the nucleon-nucleon ( $NN$ ) interaction since many techniques for rigorous calculations are developed. The quark-model (QM) baryon-baryon ( $BB$ ) interaction describes available experimental  $NN$  data and hyperon-nucleon data with high accuracy [1]. The most recommended model fss2 gives in the  $NN$  sector accuracy comparable to modern realistic meson-exchange potentials. The QM  $BB$  interaction is constructed in the framework of the resonating-group method (RGM) for two three-quark clusters. The short-range repulsion of the  $NN$  interaction is mainly described by the nonlocal quark-exchange kernel, which gives quite different off-shell properties from the standard meson-exchange potentials. The energy dependence of the interaction inherent to the RGM formalism is eliminated by the standard off-shell transformation, which yields an extra nonlocality [2]. The deficiency of the triton binding energy by fss2 is about 350 keV [3], which is far smaller than 0.5 – 1 MeV predicted by the standard meson-exchange potentials. It is therefore interesting to examine the nonlocal effect of QM  $NN$  interaction on the  $3N$  scattering observables.

In this study, we have applied our realistic QM  $NN$  interaction fss2 to the neutron-deuteron ( $nd$ ) and proton-deuteron ( $pd$ ) scattering in the Faddeev formalism and examined various scattering observables [4]. The  $NN$  interaction up to  $G$ -wave ( $I_{\max}=4$ ), which corresponds to  $(E_N)_{\max} \sim 65$  MeV, is included in these calculations. The screened Coulomb force at the nucleon level, which is derived from the sharply cut-off Coulomb force  $(1/r)\theta(\rho - r)$  at the quark level, is used for  $pd$  scattering [5]. In the most of the  $pd$  elastic calculations, we choose the Coulomb cut-off parameter  $\rho = 8$  or 9 fm. The off-shell property of fss2 appears in the low-energy region of the  $^2S_{1/2}$  channel, in conjunction with the almost correct value of the triton binding energy [3]. This feature is due to the strong distortion effect of the deuteron, which is caused by the non-local description of the short range repulsion of the  $NN$  interaction. Consequently, the  $^2S_{1/2}$  eigenphase shift predicted by fss2 is sufficiently attractive to reproduce the phase shift analysis as well as the small value of the  $nd$  doublet scattering length [6]. The diffraction minima of the  $pd$  scattering are well reproduced so long as the incident proton energy is not too high ( $E_p < 35$  MeV). The too-small peak height in the low-energy nucleon analyzing power  $A_y(\theta)$  is slightly improved. Various polarization observables of the  $nd$  and  $pd$  elastic scattering and deuteron breakup differential cross sections are rather similar to the predictions by meson-exchange potential and the effective chiral  $NN$  interaction including the disagreement of some particular observables like the  $A_y$  puzzle and the space star anomaly.

We have also examined the cut-off parameter dependence on the scattering observables [5]. Some kind of stability against the change of  $\rho$  is required, since the ultimate limit  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  is not possibly achieved numerically. We find that the relative angular momentum between two protons should be included up to large values in order to achieve such a stability. Further calculations using a larger model space with  $I_{\max} = 5$  and 6 are required to eliminate the cut-off parameter dependence.

## References

- [1] Y. Fujiwara, Y. Suzuki and C. Nakamoto, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **58**, 439 (2007).
- [2] Y. Suzuki *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **659**, 160 (2008)
- [3] Y. Fujiwara, Y. Suzuki, M. Kohno and K. Miyagawa, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 027001 (2008).
- [4] Y. Fujiwara and K. Fukukawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **124**, 433 (2010).  
K. Fukukawa and Y. Fujiwara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **125**, 729 (2011).  
Y. Fujiwara and K. Fukukawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **125**, 979 (2011).
- [5] Y. Fujiwara and K. Fukukawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **128**, No. 2 (2012).
- [6] K. Fukukawa and Y. Fujiwara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **125**, 957 (2011).